SINGLE BENCH

C.S. 1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2044 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Ku. Pyari Bai Uraon, D/o Shri Nanhu Ram Uraon, aged about 23 years, Resident of Village Madwa, Tahsil Dabhra, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

R.R. No. 2081/06
Presented by Shri Alok Shukla
dated 18.4.06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of Panchayat and Social Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
18/4/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Dabhra, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

3. Gram Panchayat Madwa, Through: Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Madwa, Janpad Panchayat Dabhra, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND/OR A SUITABLE DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER

XI-HC-22

(98)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.2044/ सन् 2006

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	26-4-2006 Mr. Alok Bakshi, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Ashutosh Singh Kachwaha, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondent No.1. Mr. Vijay Krishnrao Deshmukh, counsel for respondent No.3. Heard. By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner, who was working as Panchayat Karmi in Gram Panchayat: Madwa, has questioned legality, propriety and correctness of the order dated 24-3-2006 whereby the Chief Executive Officer, respondent No.2, has directed that the work of Panchayat Karmi will be performed by Dharmendra Patel, as the petitioner has been removed from the post of Panchayat Karmi. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that so far no termination order has been served on the petitioner and the petitioner has preferred appeal against the order dated 24-3-2006 before the Sub Divisional Officer, Dabhra, but that appeal is not being decided by the learned S.D.O., Dabhra. He further submits that in the circumstances, the learned S.D.O. be directed to decide the appeal of the petitioner. The request made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. In the circumstances, the S.D.O., Dabhra, is directed to decide the appeal preferred by the petitioner as early as possible, but not later than two months from today. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P.No.1557/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	
Soma		